

Overview and Monitoring of WASH Per Camp: Round 6 (Updated - November 30, 2025)																	WASH Sector Cox's Bazar		
Indicators	Sanitation				Water					SWM			Hygiene + soft WASH						
	% of FSTP meeting acceptable COD standards in this camp	Nb of people per functional latrine	Functionality status of sanitation facilities (latrines + bathing cubicle)	% of female family member feel safe using the communal latrine at night	Average rate from the 5 stars water network mapping	% of household level with 0 E. Coli (per 100ml)	Average number of liter domestic water collected per person per day	% of HH using tapstand as primary source for drinking water?	% of households reporting having enough water to meet all their HH needs	Collection rate of HH Waste (gm/person/day)	% of households with visible waste in the vicinity of their accommodation	% of HH Segregating waste at HH level (Observation)	% of HH reporting having soap during assessment (Observation)	% of women and girls accessing menstrual hygiene material according to WASH Sector standards	% of AWD reported cases that were addressed through JART response over the past 12 months	% of WASH corrective actions addressed within a month following complaints or feedback from the affected population (on last 6 months average)			
	Source of Information	FSTP quality monitoring (DPHE, Jan-May, 2025)	WASH sector infrastructure monitoring (WASH Sector Gap Analysis, Oct 2025)	WASH infrastructure survey (AFA, August 2025)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (ISNA Sep, 2025)	Water Network Study (MSF, Oct, 2025)	Water quality monitoring (WASH Sector Jan-Jun 2025)*	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (ISNA Sep, 2025)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (ISNA Sep, 2025)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (ISNA Sep, 2025)**	MRF database (WASH Sector July 2025)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (ISNA Sep, 2025)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (ISNA Sep, 2025)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (ISNA Sep, 2025)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (ISNA Sep, 2025)	AWD JART Monitoring (WASH Sector JART - Sep 2023 - Sep 2024)			Community Feedback Mechanism (CFM)/ Commom Feedback Platform (CFP) (IOM/UNHCR, Jan-Jun 2025)
Baseline Dec 2021	18%	22	93%	n/a	n/a	89%	27	49%	67%	62	27%	n/a	92%	66%	94%	80%	Priority	Trend compared between round 5 & 6	
Achieved 2022	44%	18	97%	75%	n/a	92%	26	68%	85%	76	20%	67%	93%	80%	90%	77%			
Achieved 2023	50%	19	96%	62%	3.9	91%	28	70%	86%	98	15%	71%	90%	62%	100%	54%			
Achieved 2024	21%	20	96%	68%	3.5	95%	23	68%	85%	94	8%	84%	85%	83%	100%	47%			
Following Trend (October 2025)	22%	23	98%	65%	3.1	94%	24	70%	83%	70	8%	71%	90%	72%	83%	91%			
Threshold	100%	<=20	>=95%	>=80	>=4 to <=5	>=95%	>=25	>=75%	>=90%	>=130	<=10	>=90	>=95%	>=90%	100%	>=80%	3 to 4		
	66% - <100%	>20 - 40	85% - <95%	60% - <80%	>=3 to <4	85% - <95%	20 - <25	60% - <75%	80% - <90%	90 - <130	>10% - 25%	75% - <90%	90% - <95%	80% - <90%	90% - <100%	60% - <80%	2.5 to <3		
	33% - <66%	>40 - 60	75% - <85%	40% - <60%	>=2 to <3	75% - <85%	15 - <20	40% - <60%	70% - <80%	50 - <90	>25% - 50%	60% - <75%	80% - <90%	60% - <80%	75% - <90%	40% - <60%	2 to <2.5		
	<33%	>60	<75%	<40%	0 to <2	<75%	<15	<40%	<70	<50	>50	<60%	<80	<60	<75	<40	<2		
Camp 01E	100%	24	94%	81%	3.4	99%	17	78%	87%	41	12%	68%	78%	98%	100%	89%	3.1		
Camp 01W	100%	26	94%	56%	3.3	99%	26	80%	85%	43	14%	77%	89%	98%	67%	58%	2.9		
Camp 02E	100%	22	95%	55%	2.8	No data	22	56%	80%	47	18%	85%	98%	90%	93%	33%	2.8		
Camp 02W	100%	23	96%	52%	2.8	93%	20	55%	89%	42	2%	52%	98%	78%	100%	71%	2.8		
Camp 03	0%	14	100%	65%	3.2	99%	25	49%	87%	40	10%	75%	93%	52%	100%	112%	2.9		
Camp 04	0%	18	98%	66%	3.4	87%	20	74%	78%	17	8%	78%	95%	44%	96%	100%	2.9		
Camp 04 Ext	0%	13	98%	71%	3.7	100%	29	93%	85%	160	4%	64%	95%	38%	93%	82%	3.3		
Camp 05	0%	18	98%	58%	3.3	88%	26	77%	70%	68	13%	63%	65%	39%	67%	55%	2.4		
Camp 06	0%	35	100%	55%	4.0	92%	24	90%	90%	48	12%	75%	94%	78%	100%	100%	2.9		
Camp 07	15%	23	100%	66%	4.0	93%	35	75%	90%	47	18%	81%	92%	86%	88%	67%	2.9		
Camp 08E	14%	23	99%	70%	4.0	93%	26	61%	90%	83	11%	87%	98%	93%	88%	100%	3.1		
Camp 08W	70%	22	97%	70%	3.9	92%	24	74%	95%	59	10%	56%	71%	84%	59%	100%	2.8		
Camp 09	13%	51	100%	74%	3.0	65%	29	29%	98%	168	8%	74%	99%	46%	83%	100%	2.8		
Camp 10	17%	26	100%	70%	2.6	97%	30	56%	79%	55	8%	58%	82%	55%	100%	100%	2.7		
Camp 11	25%	32	100%	65%	4.0	71%	29	82%	90%	78	6%	53%	96%	56%	100%	100%	2.9		
Camp 12	40%	26	100%	68%	4.0	93%	27	37%	95%	91	8%	78%	97%	87%	100%	100%	3.3		
Camp 13	10%	25	97%	76%	2.9	No data	26	54%	92%	60	8%	75%	70%	77%	64%	100%	2.7		
Camp 14	60%	16	100%	73%	3.3	99%	21	76%	90%	54	4%	90%	87%	56%	100%	100%	3.1		
Camp 15	14%	17	99%	75%	2.8	92%	28	59%	80%	66	8%	74%	89%	39%	100%	100%	2.8		
Camp 16	0%	18	100%	78%	3.0	92%	22	70%	90%	86	8%	72%	87%	94%	100%	100%	3.1		
Camp 17	0%	24	94%	52%	2.7	100%	17	84%	85%	66	6%	90%	100%	53%	84%	100%	2.8		
Camp 18	0%	22	100%	69%	3.7	94%	22	78%	76%	74	5%	84%	86%	25%	56%	100%	2.7		
Camp 19	0%	18	99%	63%	2.9	88%	21	54%	91%	45	4%	62%	98%	69%	100%	96%	2.9		
Camp 20	43%	18	100%	51%	2.3	100%	18	79%	84%	198	1%	38%	96%	69%	17%	100%	2.9		
Camp 20 Ext	18%	7	100%	65%	3.0	100%	20	74%	64%	18	1%	75%	84%	51%	89%	95%	2.6		
Camp 21	0%	18	95%	63%	2.1	99%	21	78%	98%	42	4%	69%	99%	79%	No Cases	100%	3.1		
Camp 22	0%	17	99%	70%	4.0	97%	18	99%	43%	71	4%	92%	95%	94%	No Cases	100%	3.3		
Camp 24	33%	32	97%	58%	2.8	No data	30	77%	77%	80	9%	61%	96%	90%	71%	100%	2.9		
Camp 25	0%	29	92%	63%	3.2	95%	30	62%	86%	68	7%	67%	99%	82%	100%	100%	3.1		
Camp 26	0%	23	96%	64%	2.2	No data	22	87%	78%	41	8%	73%	76%	100%	75%	100%	2.7		
Camp 27	0%	52	93%	66%	2.1	No data	18	89%	68%	151	9%	54%	91%	80%	0%	53%	2.3		
Camp KRC	100%	16	98%	57%	2.0	97%	27	30%	88%	81	13%	58%	76%	100%	50%	100%	2.8		
Camp NRC	0%	17	96%	50%	4.0	No data	28	96%	63%	99	6%	71%	92%	88%	100%	100%	2.7		

* "No data" haven't considered in the priority index calculation

** The ISNA assessment was conducted during rainy season so the results related to water access may be higher than during dry season and not fully representative.