	Overview and Monitoring of WASH Per Camp (Round 4, Updated March 20, 2024)														IW/A	SH Sector		
	Sanitation								SWM			Hygiene + soft WASH					Cox's Bazar	
Indicators	% of FSTP meeting acceptable COD standards in this camp	1.	Functionality status of sanitation facilities (latrines + bathing)	`% of female family member feel safe using the communal latrine at night	Average rate from the 5 stars water network mapping	% of housedhold level with 0 E. Coli (per 100ml)	Average number of liter domestic water collected per person per day	% of HH using tapstand as primary source for drinking water?	"	HH Waste	% of households with visible waste in the vicinity of their accommodation	1	% of HH reporting having soap during assessment (Observation)	% of women and girls accessing menstrual hygiene material according to WASH Sector standards	% of AWD reported cases that were addressed through JART response over the past 12 months	% of WASH corrective actions addressed within a month following complaints or feedback from the affected population (on last 6 months average)		
Source of Information	FSTP quality monitoring (DPHE, Sep-Dec 2023)	WASH sector infrastructure monitoring (Gap Analysis, May 2023)	WASH infrastructure survey (AFA, Feb 2024)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023)	Water Network Study (IWM, Sep 2023)	(WASH Sector	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (AFA, Dec 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023) **	MRF database (WASH Sector Dec 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (AFA, Dec 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J- MSNA Oct, 2023)	AWD JART Monitoring (WASH sector Mar 2023 - Mar 2024)	Community Feedback Mechanism (CFM)/ Commom Feedback Platform (CFP) (IOM/UNHCR, Jul-Dec 2023)		
2021	18%	22	93%	n/a	n/a	89%	27	49%	67%	62	27%	n/a	92%	66%	94%	80%		
Achieved 2022	64%	18	97%	75%	n/a	92%	26	68%	85%	75	20%	67%	93%	80%	90%	77%		
Achieved 2023	50%	19	96%	62%	3.9	91%	28	70%	86%	108	15%	71%	90%	62%	100%	54%	Priority	Trend
Following Trend (March 2024)	31%	19	96%	62%	3.9	94%	28	70%	86%	108	15%	71%	90%	62%	100%	49% 🖢		compared between
	100%	<=20	>=95%	>=80	>=4 to <=5	>=95%	>=25	>=75%	>=90%	>=130	<=10	>=90	>=95%	>=90%	100%	>=80%	3 to 4	round 3 &
Threshold	66% - <100%	>20 - 40	85% - <95%	60% - <80%	>=3 to <4	85% - <95%	20 - <25	60% - <75%	80% - <90%	90 - <130	>10% - 25%	75% - <90%	90% - <95%	80% - <90%	90% - <100%	60% - <80%	2 .5 to <3	4
	33% - <66%	>40 - 60	75%- <85%	40% - <60%	>=2 to <3	75% - <85%	15 - <20	40% - <60%	70% - <80%	50 - <90	>25% - 50%	60% - <75%	80% - <90%	60% - <80%	75% - <90%	40% - <60%	2 to <2.5	
	<33%	>60	<75%	<40%	0 to <2	<75%	<15	<40	<70	<50	>50	<60%	<80	<60	<75	<40	<2	
		1											_					
Camp 01E	100%	20	91%	67%	4.4	87%	29	79%	83%	57	62%	53%	93%	57%	100%	0%	2.8	
Camp 01W Camp 02E	100% 100%	22 17	92% 92%	67% 66%	4.3 2.7	100% 100%	29 28	74% 61%	83% 83%	59 61	21% 39%	59% 59%	90% 94%	80% 75%	100% 100%	0% 0%	3.0 2.8	
Camp 02W		19	96%	59%	3.0	100%	28	37%	81%	15	12%	84%	97%	81%	100%	100%	3.2	
Camp 03	100%	23	85%	67%	4.0	100%	30	58%	83%	103	0%	58%	87%	78%	100%	27%	2.9	
Camp 04		13	92%	67%	3.0	61%	24	61%	73%	110	18%	43%	91%	73%	100%	33%	2.7	
Camp 04 Ext		11	100%	75%	4.3	94%	33	78%	89%	599	0%	76%	91%	70%	100%	0%	3.4	
Camp 05		13	88%	40%	3.3	48%	24	71%	71%	116	6%	72%	86%	89%	100%	84%	2.9	
Camp 06		28	99%	48%	4.3	91%	25	78%	90%	68	3%	67%	92%	62%	100%	33%	2.9	
Camp 07 Camp 08E		23	99%	72%	4.8	91%	27	78%	85% 95%	56	5%	85%	96%	66%	100%	100%	3.3 2.9	→
Camp 08W		19	95% 98%	60% 57%	3.9 4.7	91% 96%	27 26	70% 81%	95% 84%	123 66	6% 3%	82% 66%	92% 83%	51% 78%	100% 100%	31% 20%	3.1	
Camp 09		38	99%	60%	3.0	68%	22	39%	91%	135	4%	53%	87%	35%	100%	35%	2.5	\rightarrow
Camp 10		24	99%	63%	3.6	89%	23	40%	87%	43	64%	61%	92%	57%	100%	1%	2.4	
Camp 11		25	100%	72%	4.5	50%	31	69%	89%	80	1%	73%	91%	50%	100%	50%	2.8	
Camp 12	40%	18	99%	69%	4.5	100%	22	58%	91%	64	0%	59%	84%	33%	100%	48%	2.9	
Camp 13		23	99%	62%	2.7	100%	30	53%	93%	175	0%	73%	81%	44%	100%	51%	2.9	
Camp 14		17	98%	66%	4.6	99%	26	67%	92%	45	2%	94%	95%	78%	No cases	29%	3.3	
Camp 15		16	94% 95%	59%	3.2	97% 98%	26	59% 77%	86%	70	6% 2%	81%	91%	67%	100%	29%	2.8 3.1	
Camp 16 Camp 17		16 11	93%	71% 42%	4.0 3.7	98% 86%	27 28	76%	92% 84%	80 122	53%	85% 45%	87% 81%	45% 70%	100% 100%	59% 31%	2.6	→
Camp 18		16	99%	63%	4.7	100%	27	59%	86%	41	13%	74%	93%	56%	100%	70%	2.9	\rightarrow
Camp 19		15	98%	62%	3.6	76%	33	50%	91%	43	0%	64%	90%	38%	No cases	42%	2.8	\rightarrow
Camp 20		18	99%	62%	3.8	75%	26	69%	91%	117	2%	58%	83%	40%	No cases	13%	2.9	
Camp 20 Ext		15	100%	63%	4.2	50%	27	80%	76%	27	13%	64%	82%	33%	No cases	57%	2.6	\rightarrow
Camp 21		14	90%	63%	4.8	95%	25	66%	91%	46	17%	83%	88%	82%	No cases	76%	3.1	→
Camp 22		17	99%	69%	5.0	95%	22	94%	90%	55	1%	91%	99%	49%	No cases	57%	3.4	
Camp 24		24	98%	59%	4.2	94%	26	97%	90%	149	1%	72%	96%	53%	100%	60%	3.2	→
Camp 25 Camp 26		19 26	99% 95%	64% 47%	4.4 4.2	100% 72%	25 27	89% 88%	91% 87%	9 97	3%	80% 59%	96% 90%	49% 79%	No cases	69% 85%	3.3 2.9	
Camp 27		14	95%	61%	3.6	33%	34	88%	74%	157	10%	88%	96%	81%	No cases No cases	0%	3.1	\rightarrow
Camp KRC	100%	15	98%	64%	2.2	46%	19	62%	81%	299	33%	62%	94%	74%	100%	0%	2.8	\rightarrow
Camp NRC		15	93%	49%	4.4	No data	28	91%	74%	275	52%	52%	83%	83%	No cases	0%	2.7	
	* "No data"	haven't consid	dered in the pr	iority index ca	lculation													

^{* &}quot;No data" haven't considered in the priority index calculation

^{**} The J-MSNA assessment was conducted during rainy season so the results related to water access may be higher than during dry season and not fully representative.