

Overview and Monitoring of WASH Per Camp (Round 4, Updated March 20, 2024)



Indicators	Sanitation				Water					SWM			Hygiene + soft WASH				Priority	Trend compared between round 3 & 4
	% of FSTP meeting acceptable COD standards in this camp	Nb of people per functional latrine	Functionality status of sanitation facilities (latrines + bathing)	% of female family member feel safe using the communal latrine at night	Average rate from the 5 stars water network mapping	% of household level with 0 E. Coli (per 100ml)	Average number of liter domestic water collected per person per day	% of HH using tapstand as primary source for drinking water?	% of households reporting having enough water to meet all their HH needs	Collection rate of HH Waste (gm/person/day)	% of households with visible waste in the vicinity of their accommodation	% of HH Segregating waste at HH level (Observation)	% of HH reporting having soap during assessment (Observation)	% of women and girls accessing menstrual hygiene material according to WASH Sector standards	% of AWD reported cases that were addressed through JART response over the past 12 months	% of WASH corrective actions addressed within a month following complaints or feedback from the affected population (on last 6 months average)		
Source of Information	FSTP quality monitoring (DPHE, Sep-Dec 2023)	WASH sector infrastructure monitoring (Gap Analysis, May 2023)	WASH infrastructure survey (AFA, Feb 2024)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023)	Water Network Study (IWM, Sep 2023)	Water quality monitoring (WASH Sector Jan-Dec 2023)*	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (AFA, Dec 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023) **	MRF database (WASH Sector Dec 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (AFA, Dec 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023)	AWD JART Monitoring (WASH sector Mar 2023 - Mar 2024)	Community Feedback Mechanism (CFM)/ Commom Feedback Platform (CFP) (IOM/UNHCR, Jul-Dec 2023)		
2021	18%	22	93%	n/a	n/a	89%	27	49%	67%	62	27%	n/a	92%	66%	94%	80%		
Achieved 2022	64%	18	97%	75%	n/a	92%	26	68%	85%	75	20%	67%	93%	80%	90%	77%		
Achieved 2023	50%	19	96%	62%	3.9	91%	28	70%	86%	108	15%	71%	90%	62%	100%	54%		
Following Trend (March 2024)	31%	19	96%	62%	3.9	94%	28	70%	86%	108	15%	71%	90%	62%	100%	49%		
Threshold	100%	<=20	>=95%	>=80	>=4 to <=5	>=95%	>=25	>=75%	>=90%	>=130	<=10	>=90	>=95%	>=90%	100%	>=80%		
	66% - <100%	>20 - 40	85% - <95%	60% - <80%	>=3 to <4	85% - <95%	20 - <25	60% - <75%	80% - <90%	90 - <130	>10% - 25%	75% - <90%	90% - <95%	80% - <90%	90% - <100%	60% - <80%		
	33% - <66%	>40 - 60	75% - <85%	40% - <60%	>=2 to <3	75% - <85%	15 - <20	40% - <60%	70% - <80%	50 - <90	>25% - 50%	60% - <75%	80% - <90%	60% - <80%	75% - <90%	40% - <60%		
	<33%	>60	<75%	<40%	0 to <2	<75%	<15	<40	<70	<50	>50	<60%	<80	<60	<75	<40		
Camp 01E	0%	20	91%	67%	4.4	87%	29	79%	83%	57	62%	53%	93%	57%	100%	0%		
Camp 01W	0%	22	92%	67%	4.3	100%	29	74%	83%	59	21%	59%	90%	80%	100%	0%		
Camp 02E	100%	17	92%	66%	2.7	100%	28	61%	83%	61	39%	59%	94%	75%	100%	0%		
Camp 02W	100%	19	96%	59%	3.0	100%	28	37%	81%	15	12%	84%	97%	81%	100%	100%		
Camp 03	100%	23	85%	67%	4.0	100%	30	58%	83%	103	0%	58%	87%	78%	100%	27%		
Camp 04	100%	13	92%	67%	3.0	61%	24	61%	73%	110	18%	43%	91%	73%	100%	33%		
Camp 04 Ext	50%	11	100%	75%	4.3	94%	33	78%	89%	599	0%	76%	91%	70%	100%	0%		
Camp 05	100%	13	88%	40%	3.3	48%	24	71%	71%	116	6%	72%	86%	89%	100%	84%		
Camp 06	0%	28	99%	48%	4.3	91%	25	78%	90%	68	3%	67%	92%	62%	100%	33%		
Camp 07	28%	23	99%	72%	4.8	91%	27	78%	85%	56	5%	85%	96%	66%	100%	100%		
Camp 08E	13%	27	95%	60%	3.9	91%	27	70%	95%	123	6%	82%	92%	51%	100%	31%		
Camp 08W	67%	19	98%	57%	4.7	96%	26	81%	84%	66	3%	66%	83%	78%	100%	20%		
Camp 09	13%	38	99%	60%	3.0	68%	22	39%	91%	135	4%	53%	87%	35%	100%	35%		
Camp 10	26%	24	99%	63%	3.6	89%	23	40%	87%	43	64%	61%	92%	57%	100%	1%		
Camp 11	17%	25	100%	72%	4.5	50%	31	69%	89%	80	1%	73%	91%	50%	100%	50%		
Camp 12	40%	18	99%	69%	4.5	100%	22	58%	91%	64	0%	59%	84%	33%	100%	48%		
Camp 13	45%	23	99%	62%	2.7	100%	30	53%	93%	175	0%	73%	81%	44%	100%	51%		
Camp 14	57%	17	98%	66%	4.6	99%	26	67%	92%	45	2%	94%	95%	78%	No cases	29%		
Camp 15	17%	16	94%	59%	3.2	97%	26	59%	86%	70	6%	81%	91%	67%	100%	29%		
Camp 16	14%	16	95%	71%	4.0	98%	27	77%	92%	80	2%	85%	87%	45%	100%	59%		
Camp 17	50%	11	93%	42%	3.7	86%	28	76%	84%	122	53%	45%	81%	70%	100%	31%		
Camp 18	22%	16	99%	63%	4.7	100%	27	59%	86%	41	13%	74%	93%	56%	100%	70%		
Camp 19	0%	15	98%	62%	3.6	76%	33	50%	91%	43	0%	64%	90%	38%	No cases	42%		
Camp 20	67%	18	99%	62%	3.8	75%	26	69%	91%	117	2%	58%	83%	40%	No cases	13%		
Camp 20 Ext	0%	15	100%	63%	4.2	50%	27	80%	76%	27	13%	64%	82%	33%	No cases	57%		
Camp 21	50%	14	90%	63%	4.8	95%	25	66%	91%	46	17%	83%	88%	82%	No cases	76%		
Camp 22	66%	17	99%	69%	5.0	95%	22	94%	90%	55	1%	91%	99%	49%	No cases	57%		
Camp 24	20%	24	98%	59%	4.2	94%	26	97%	90%	149	1%	72%	96%	53%	100%	60%		
Camp 25	33%	19	99%	64%	4.4	100%	25	89%	91%	9	3%	80%	96%	49%	No cases	69%		
Camp 26	33%	26	95%	47%	4.2	72%	27	88%	87%	97	30%	59%	90%	79%	No cases	85%		
Camp 27	0%	14	99%	61%	3.6	33%	34	88%	74%	157	10%	88%	96%	81%	No cases	0%		
Camp KRC	100%	15	98%	64%	2.2	46%	19	62%	81%	299	33%	62%	94%	74%	100%	0%		
Camp NRC	0%	15	93%	49%	4.4	No data	28	91%	74%	275	52%	52%	83%	83%	No cases	0%		

* "No data" haven't considered in the priority index calculation

** The J-MSNA assessment was conducted during rainy season so the results related to water access may be higher than during dry season and not fully representative.