

Overview and Monitoring of WASH Per Camp (Round 3, Updated October 22, 2023)



Indicators	Sanitation				Water				SWM			Hygiene + soft WASH				Priority	Trend compared between round 2 & 3
	% of FSTP meeting acceptable COD standards in this camp	Nb of people per functional latrine	Functionality status of sanitation facilities (latrines + bathing)	% of female family member feel safe using the communal latrine at night	% of Household Level with 0 E. Coli (per 100ml)	Average number of liter domestic water collected per person per day	% of HH using tapstand as primary source for drinking water?	% of households reporting having enough water to meet all their HH needs	Collection rate of HH Waste (gm/person/day)	% of households with visible waste in the vicinity of their accommodation	% of HH Segregating waste at HH level (Observation)	% of HH reporting having soap during assessment (Observation)	% of women and girls accessing menstrual hygiene material according to WASH Sector standards	% of AWD reported cases that were addressed through JART response over the past 12 months	% of WASH corrective actions addressed within a month following complaints or feedback from the affected population (on last 6 months average)		
Source of Information	FSTP quality monitoring (DPHE, Sep 2023)	WASH sector infrastructure monitoring (Gap Analysis, May 2023)	WASH infrastructure survey (AFA, Oct 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023)	Water quality monitoring (WASH Sector Jan-Aug 2023) *	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023) **	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023) **	MRF database (WASH Sector Aug 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (AFA, Oct 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023)	HH survey or MSNA as alternative (J-MSNA Oct, 2023)	AWD JART Monitoring (WASH sector Jan-Aug 2023)	Community Feedback Mechanism (CFM)/ Commom Feedback Platform (CFP) (IOM/UNHCR, Aug 2023)		
Baseline Dec 2021	18%	22	93%	n/a	89%	27	49%	67%	62	27%	n/a	92%	66%	94%	80%		
Achieved 2022	64%	18	97%	75%	92%	26	68%	85%	75	20%	67%	93%	80%	90%	77%		
Following Trend (Oct 2023)	53%	19	96%	62%	90%	20	70%	86%	88	15%	71%	90%	62%	100%	55%		
Threshold	100%	<=20	>=95%	>=80	>=95%	>=25	>=75%	>=90%	>=130	<=10	>=90	>=95%	>=90%	100%	>=80%		
	66% - <100%	>20 - 40	85% - <95%	60% - <80%	85% - <95%	20 - <25	60% - <75%	80% - <90%	90 - <130	>10% - 25%	75% - <90%	90% - <95%	80% - <90%	90% - <100%	60% - <80%		
	33% - <66%	>40 - 60	75% - <85%	40% - <60%	75% - <85%	15 - <20	40% - <60%	70% - <80%	50 - <90	>25% - 50%	60% - <75%	80% - <90%	60% - <80%	75% - <90%	40% - <60%		
	<33%	>60	<75%	<40%	<75%	<15	<40	<70	<50	>50	<60%	<80	<60	<75	<40		
Camp 01E	0%	20	91%	67%	87%	21	79%	83%	150	62%	53%	93%	57%	100%	100%	2.8	
Camp 01W	0%	22	92%	67%	86%	21	74%	83%	74	21%	59%	90%	80%	100%	0%	2.6	
Camp 02E	0%	17	92%	66%	76%	19	61%	83%	108	39%	59%	94%	75%	100%	0%	2.5	
Camp 02W	0%	19	96%	59%	68%	18	37%	81%	22	12%	84%	97%	81%	100%	92%	2.7	
Camp 03	0%	23	85%	67%	86%	18	58%	83%	174	0%	58%	87%	78%	100%	46%	2.6	
Camp 04	0%	13	92%	67%	61%	21	61%	73%	88	18%	43%	91%	73%	100%	41%	2.5	
Camp 04 Ext	0%	11	100%	75%	94%	21	78%	89%	46	0%	76%	91%	70%	100%	No complain	3.1	
Camp 05	33%	13	88%	40%	48%	20	71%	71%	74	6%	72%	86%	89%	No cases	81%	2.7	
Camp 06	0%	28	99%	48%	92%	20	78%	90%	44	5%	67%	92%	62%	100%	32%	2.7	
Camp 07	60%	23	99%	72%	91%	21	78%	85%	46	7%	85%	96%	66%	100%	0%	2.9	
Camp 08E	0%	27	95%	60%	93%	19	70%	95%	83	5%	82%	92%	51%	No cases	64%	2.9	
Camp 08W	92%	19	98%	57%	92%	20	81%	84%	55	3%	66%	83%	78%	100%	40%	2.9	
Camp 09	44%	38	100%	60%	68%	18	39%	91%	276	4%	53%	87%	35%	100%	46%	2.5	
Camp 10	0%	24	100%	63%	89%	20	40%	87%	69	0%	61%	92%	57%	No cases	42%	2.7	
Camp 11	0%	25	100%	72%	68%	22	69%	89%	81	1%	73%	91%	50%	100%	42%	2.6	
Camp 12	50%	18	99%	69%	64%	21	58%	91%	43	0%	59%	84%	33%	No cases	51%	2.5	
Camp 13	100%	23	100%	62%	84%	19	53%	93%	46	0%	73%	81%	44%	100%	53%	2.7	
Camp 14	29%	17	98%	66%	95%	24	67%	92%	41	4%	94%	95%	78%	No cases	43%	3.1	
Camp 15	92%	16	94%	59%	94%	21	59%	86%	46	6%	81%	91%	67%	100%	36%	2.7	
Camp 16	14%	16	95%	71%	94%	20	77%	92%	58	2%	85%	87%	45%	100%	54%	2.9	
Camp 17	50%	11	93%	42%	72%	21	76%	84%	234	53%	45%	81%	70%	100%	23%	2.5	
Camp 18	100%	16	98%	63%	78%	18	59%	86%	73	13%	74%	93%	56%	100%	74%	2.8	
Camp 19	100%	15	92%	62%	76%	21	50%	91%	51	0%	64%	90%	38%	No cases	50%	2.9	
Camp 20	14%	18	99%	62%	75%	20	69%	91%	51	2%	58%	83%	40%	No cases	61%	2.7	
Camp 20 Ext	75%	15	99%	63%	50%	18	80%	76%	15	13%	64%	82%	33%	No cases	80%	2.6	
Camp 21	100%	14	90%	63%	95%	22	66%	91%	81	17%	83%	88%	82%	No cases	57%	3.1	
Camp 22	0%	17	99%	69%	89%	21	94%	90%	36	1%	91%	99%	49%	No cases	49%	3.1	
Camp 24	60%	24	100%	59%	94%	18	97%	90%	81	1%	72%	96%	53%	100%	48%	2.9	
Camp 25	66%	19	100%	64%	88%	22	89%	91%	71	3%	80%	96%	49%	No cases	74%	3.3	
Camp 26	0%	26	95%	47%	72%	18	88%	87%	109	30%	59%	90%	79%	100%	91%	2.6	
Camp 27	0%	14	99%	61%	33%	23	88%	74%	134	10%	88%	96%	81%	100%	71%	3.1	
Camp KRC	0%	15	98%	64%	46%	22	62%	81%	123	33%	62%	94%	74%	100%	No complain	2.8	
Camp NRC	0%	15	93%	49%	No data	18	91%	74%	207	52%	52%	83%	83%	100%	No complain	3.2	

* "No data" haven't considered in the priority index calculation

** The J-MSNA assessment was conducted during rainy season so the results related to water access may be higher than during dry season and not fully representative.